

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,703	09/18/2003	Eric Holmes	71034	5196	
23872	590 03/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCGLEW & TUTTLE, PC			FERGUSON, MICHAEL P		
1 SCARBOROUGH STATION PLAZA SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-0827			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3679		
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		\
Office Action Summary	10/664,703	HOLMES, ERIC Art Unit
,,	Examiner Michael S. Formuser	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Michael P. Ferguson appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ ⁻	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		,
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		1
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rrection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum2. Certified copies of the priority docum		plication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		
application from the International Bur	•	occived in and Maderial Glage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived.
		·
Attachment(s)	"m	(070,440)
1)	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	√08) 5) ☐ Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u>.</u>

Application/Control Number: 10/664,703 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant should note that a new examiner has examined the application.

Examiner notes that the previous restriction, mailed October 20, 2004, is improper.

Accordingly, the following restriction replaces the previous office action.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, 28 and 29, drawn to a ball-and-socket joint, classified in class 403, subclass 135.
 - II. Claims 10-27, drawn to a process of forming a ball-and-socket joint, classified in class 29, subclass 434.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case ball-and-socket joint claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as the molded material bearing could be made using cast molding instead of injection molding.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3679

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1 shown in Figures 11-13

Species 2 shown in Figure 15

Species 3 shown in Figure 16

Species 4 shown in Figures 21A and 21B

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 10/664,703 Page 4

Art Unit: 3679

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to John James McGlew on February 28, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Ferguson whose telephone number is (703)308-8591. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703)308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/664,703

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MPF

02/28/05

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Samel P Stodola